



.United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/368,635	08/04/1999	MARTIN F. ARLITT	10981718-1	5764
7590 03/24/2004			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY			WOO, ISAAC M	
INTELLELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINITRATION			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3404 E HARM	ONY ROAD		ARTONII	FAFER NUMBER
P O BOX 2724	= =		2172	20
FORT COLLIN	IS, CO 80528-9599		DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004	-

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/368,635	ARLITT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Isaac M Woo	2172	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1, after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statual Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a naple of the statutory minimum of thirt of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23.	January 2004.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		, ,	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		• •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Apprity documents have been Bu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 09/368,635

Art Unit: 2172

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to Applicant's argument, filed on January 23,

2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

2. Claims 1-7 are pending.

Response to Amendment

3. In response to Applicant's remarks filed on filed on January 23, 2004, the following factual argument points are noted:

Holt and Smith do not disclose or suggest the content server does specify all proxy server that subscribe to content file in content server and the content server notifying subscribed proxy servers that cache content file is updated in the content server to discard the cache content file to the proxy servers.

However, examiner does not agree. The claimed first limitation is subscribing content file from the content server. Smith discloses, proxy servers (fig. 1, fig. 2) that subscribe content file from server. Because the definition of cache is to store data once received from servers. Therefore, proxy servers (caches) subscribe the server contents and fig 1 discloses the list of the proxy servers (proxy server membership list, fig. 9A-D). Thus, Smith discloses the content server does specify all proxy server that subscribe to content file in content server. The claimed second limitation is to notify to cache content

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/368,635 Page 3

Art Unit: 2172

update to the proxy server. Holt discloses, the content providing the server. The data may be stored in a database and a database manager notifies the content providing server when the data are changed. The content providing server may notify the intermediate server when the data are changed, intermediate server may receive a second request for the document. The intermediate server then carries out the instructions utilizing the data to create the document and transmits the document to a client. A database manager may notify the content providing server when a database containing the data is being altered, see (col. 4, lines 26-44). This teaches that notifying content server changing to the intermediate (proxy) servers. Therefore, Holt teaches notifying to cache content update to the proxy server. Thus, Holt and Smith combined disclose or suggest the content server does specify all proxy server that subscribe to content file in content server and the content server notifying subscribed proxy servers that cache content file is updated in the content server to discard the cache content file to the proxy servers.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2172

5. Claims 1-3 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holt, III et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,324,565, hereinafter, "Holt") in view of Smith et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,377,991, hereinafter, "Smith").

With respect to claims 1 and 2, Holt discloses that in a data access network system that includes content server (14, content providing server, fig.1) coupled to a plurality of proxy servers (12, intermediate servers (col. 7, lines 36-60), fig. 1, col. 3, lines 38-67 to col. 4, lines 1-45) via an interconnect network (fig.1, col. 3, lines 22-39), a system of maintaining content consistency between the content server (14, content providing server, fig.1) and proxy server (12, intermediate servers (col. 7, lines 36-60), col. 3, lines 38-67 to col. 4, lines 1-45), consistency manager also in the content server (14, content providing server, fig.1) in the content server for notifying all of so subscribed proxy servers (12, intermediate servers (col. 7, lines 36-60), fig. 1, col. 3, lines 38-67 to col. 4, lines 1-45) that cache the content file when the content file is updated in the content server to discard the cached content file from those proxy servers, see (col. 6, lines 11-40, function of consistency manager is notifying content file's updating to proxy servers to keep consistency between content server and proxy servers). Holt does not explicitly disclose the subscription manager in the content server for specifying all of the proxy servers list that are subscribed to a content file stored in the content server as claimed. Smith discloses the claimed proxy server (cache proxy sever, definition of cache proxy server is subscribing cache from cache server) array configured to a distributed cache to proxy server. The proxy server has a list that

Application/Control Number: 09/368,635

Page 5

Art Unit: 2172

contains all the proxy servers, see (fig. 5, col. 10, lines 15-23, col. 10, lines 56-65) and proxy server membership list, see (fig. 9A-D, col. 6, lines 49-65). This teaches the proxy server (definition of cache proxy server is subscribing cache from cache server) membership list specifies all of the proxy servers that are subscribed to a content file. Thus, this teaches subscription manager for specifying (list of proxy servers) all of the proxy servers.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include the subscription manager in the content server for generating and specifying all of the proxy servers list that are subscribed to a content file stored in the content server taught in Smith in the system of Holt to provide proxy server list. Because the list of proxy servers provides quick data access by using the member of proxy servers to check and access member of proxy server to get cached information from member proxy server.

With respect to claim 3, Holt discloses, the proxy servers notifies the subscription manager that it has cached the content file via an HTTP GET request with a SUB (subscription) header when the proxy server decides that the content file should be subscribed, see (col. 3, lines 62-67 to col. 4, lines 1-23, the system of Holt web-based cache system, web based system uses web standard HTTP GET protocol to get cache information from web server).

Art Unit: 2172

With respect to claim 5, Holt discloses, the consistency manager notifies each of subscribed proxy servers via a DWS INV message when a content file has changed, see (col. 6, lines 11-40, col. 3, lines 38-67 to col. 4, lines 1-45).

With respect to claim 6, Holt discloses, the consistency manager also sends the updated content file to each of the proxy servers via an HTTP PUT request with a DWS SUB header, see (col. 6, lines 11-40).

With respect to claim 7, Holt discloses, the consistency manager notifies all of the proxy servers specified by the subscription manager to discard the cached contents file from the proxy servers when the content file is updated or deleted in the content server within a predetermined time interval, see (col. 1, lines 46-67 to col. 2, lines 1-12).

6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holt, III et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,324,565, hereinafter, "Holt") in view of Smith et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,377,991, hereinafter, "Smith") further in view of Aggarwal et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,012,126, hereinafter, "Aggarwal").

With respect to claim 4, Neither Holt nor Smith explicitly disclose, the content file is not a popular file, then that proxy server does not notify the subscription manager that it has cached the content file, see (col. 1, lines 46-67 to col. 2, lines 1-12, col. 3, lines 38-67 to col. 4, lines 1-45). However, Aggarwal discloses the admission control logic

Page 7

Art Unit: 2172

uses popularity criterion for the object accessed. The auxiliary stack serves as dynamic popularity list and an object may admitted to the cache if and only if it appears on the popularity list, see (col. 3, lines 63-67 to col. 4, lines 1-13). And Aggarwal discloses the object of the admission control logic is to limit entry to the cache to objects which meet a popularity criterion, see (fig.2, fig.4, col. 6, lines 19-42). This teaches the file that is not popular is not cached (subscribed).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include the content file that is not a popular file, then that proxy server does not notify the subscription manager taught in Aggarwal in the system of Holt and Smith to subscribe only popular files from content server.

Because caching only popular files provides saving accessing time for contents with reducing fetch time and saving storage medium in computer environment.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 09/368,635

Art Unit: 2172

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isaac M Woo whose telephone number is (703) 305-0081. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E Breene can be reached on (703) 305-9790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

IMW March 16, 2004 SHAHID ALAM PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 8